arXiv:1602.01214v1 [math.RA] 3 Feb 2016

ON THE $\mathbb{Z}_2$-GRADED CODIMENSIONS OF THE GRASSMANN ALGEBRA OVER A FINITE FIELD

LUCIO CENTRONE AND LUÍS FELIPE GONÇALVES FONSECA

Abstract. Let E be the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra over a finite field F of characteristic not 2. In this paper we deal with the homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings of E. In particular, we compute an exact value for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded homogeneous codimensions of E, and a lower and an upper bound for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded (non-homogeneous) codimensions of E for each of its $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous grading.

1. Introduction

Polynomial identity algebras have been well investigated since the previous century. We recall that if X is a countable (maybe infinite) set of indeterminates and F is a field, we call *polynomials* the elements of $F\langle X\rangle$, the free algebra freely generated by X over F. If A is an F-algebra we say $f \in F\langle X\rangle$ is a *polynomial identity* for A if it vanishes under all substitution by elements of A. An algebra A is said to be a *PI-algebra* if there exists a non-trivial polynomial identity of A. Not to mention the set of polynomial identities of an algebra A is a T-ideal called the *T-ideal* of A and denoted by $T(A)$. If A is a G-graded algebra, where G is a group, we have some similar constructions and we speak about *graded polynomial, graded polynomial identities, graded PI-algebras* and we denote by $T_G(A)$ the set of graded polynomial identities of A.

Let E be the Grassmann algebra over F. Due to its prominent role in the Kemer's theory about the structure of T-ideals (see [10]) and its own interest, the (graded) identities of E have been intensively studied. See for example the works by Krakowski and Regev [11], Regev [13], Giambruno and Koshlukov [9], Anisimov [1], Di Vincenzo and da Silva [5], Centrone [3], Bekh-Ochir [2] and Fonseca [7].

It is well known that if F is infinite, every (graded) polynomial identity of a certain PI-algebra A may be recovered by its multihomogeneous (graded) identities. If F is a finite field this is no longer true. See for example the work [13] by Regev and [2] by Bekh-Ochir and Rankin dealing with ordinary polynomial identities of the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra E and the work [7] by Fonseca dealing with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded polynomial identities of E. Due to this fact, it seems fruitful studying structures related to T-ideals explaining the behaviour of the (graded) identities of a given algebra such as *codimensions*.

Let us recall the definition of graded codimension sequence. We consider the general setting of an F-algebra A graded by a finite group $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ satisfying a graded polynomial identity; then we consider $X = \bigcup_{g\in G} X^g$ be a finite (maybe infinite) set of indeterminates labeled by the elements of the group, such that $|X^g| = l_g$ and $X^g \cap X^h = \emptyset$ if $g \neq h$. We consider $F\langle X|G\rangle$ which is the graded generalization of the algebra of non-commutative polynomials. Let us consider a homogeneous subspace V of $F\langle X|G\rangle$. We define the *$(n_1, \dots, n_r)$-th V codimension* of A as $c_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}(A, V) := \dim_F V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}/(V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)} \cap T_G(A))$, where $V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}$ is the vector space of the elements of V of total degree n_i in the variables of X^{g_i}.

In this paper we deal with homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded codimensions of E. We recall we have three up to isomorphism kinds of homogenous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings which are used to be denoted by E_∞, E_{k^*} and E_k (see [1] and [5]) or E if we consider any of them without distinguish them. We firstly consider V being the space of homogeneous polynomials of $F\langle X|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ and we obtain that $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, V)$ is limited for each $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then we start to study the structure of such subspaces. In particular, we found a basis for $V_{(n_1,n_2)}/(V_{(n_1,n_2)} \cap T_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(E))$, when V is the space of multihomogeneous polynomials, and an exact value for $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, V)$. In the last part we consider a finite dimensional generating subspace W of $F\langle X|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ and we give upper and lower bounds for $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, W(n))$, where $W(n) = W^{\otimes n}$. We recall that this is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generalization of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16R10; 16P90; 16S10; 16W50.
Key words and phrases. Graded Polynomial Identities, Grassmann algebra.

work [13] by Regev in which he found an exact value for the multihomogeneous and multilinear codimensions of E in the non-graded case. We want to point out our techniques are different than the Regev's ones.

2. Basic tools

All algebras we refer to are associative and unitary unless explicitely written. For the sake of simplicity all groups are to be considered finite.

Definition 2.1. *Let $(G,\cdot)=\{g_1,\dots,g_r\}$ be any group and let F be a field. If A is an F-algebra, we say that A is a G-graded algebra if there are subspaces A^g for each $g\in G$ such that*

$$A=\bigoplus_{g\in G}A^g \text{ and } A^gA^h\subseteq A^{gh}$$

for every $g,h\in G$. If $0\neq a\in A^g$ we say that a is homogeneous of G-degree g or simply that a has degree g, and we write $\deg(a)=g$.

We consider now some special class of gradings.

Definition 2.2. *Let A be an F-algebra and G be a group. A G-grading on A is said to be homogeneous if there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}=\{b_1,\dots,b_n,\dots\}$ of A as a vector space and a map $\phi:\mathcal{B}\to G$ such that $\deg(b_i)=\phi(b_i)$ for each i.*

One defines *G-graded subspaces* of A, *G-graded A-modules*, *G-graded homomorphisms* and so on, in a standard way (see for example [8] for more details).

Let $\{X^g \mid g\in G\}$ be a family of disjoint countable sets. Set $X=\bigcup_{g\in G}X^g$ and denote by $F\langle X|G\rangle$ the free-associative algebra freely generated by the set X. An indeterminate $x\in X$ is said to be of *homogeneous G-degree g*, written $\deg(x)=g$, if $x\in X^g$. We always write x^g if $x\in X^g$. The homogeneous G-degree of a monomial $m=x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_k}$ is defined to be $\deg(m)=\deg(x_{i_1})\cdot\deg(x_{i_2})\cdot\cdots\cdot\deg(x_{i_k})$. For every $g\in G$, we denote by $F\langle X|G\rangle^g$ the subspace of $F\langle X|G\rangle$ spanned by all the monomials having homogeneous G-degree g. Notice that $F\langle X|G\rangle^gF\langle X|G\rangle^{g'}\subseteq F\langle X|G\rangle^{gg'}$ for all $g,g'\in G$. Thus

$$F\langle X|G\rangle=\bigoplus_{g\in G}F\langle X|G\rangle^g$$

is a G-graded algebra. The elements of the G-graded algebra $F\langle X|G\rangle$ are referred to as *G-graded polynomials* or, simply, *graded polynomials*. We refer to the multihomogeneous degree of a polynomial as the usual multidegree of $F\langle X|G\rangle$.

Definition 2.3. *If A is a G-graded algebra, we denote by $T_G(A)$ the intersection of the kernels of all G-graded homomorphisms $F\langle X|G\rangle\to A$. Then $T_G(A)$ is a graded two-sided ideal of $F\langle X|G\rangle$ and its elements are called G-graded polynomial identities of the algebra A.*

Notice that $T_G(A)$ is stable under the action of any G-graded endomorphism of the algebra $F\langle X|G\rangle$. Any G-graded ideal of $F\langle X|G\rangle$ which verifies such property is said to be a *T_G-ideal*. Clearly, any T_G-ideal I is the ideal of the G-graded polynomial identities of the graded algebra $F\langle X|G\rangle/I$. Note also that for a G-graded algebra A, the quotient algebra $F\langle X|G\rangle/T_G(A)$ is the relatively-free algebra for the variety of G-graded algebras generated by A. If G is finite of order r and $X=\bigcup_{g\in G}X^g$ is finite, we shall denote the relatively free graded algebra of A by $U_{l_1,\dots,l_r}(A)$, where $l_i=|X_i|$.

If $S\subseteq F\langle X|G\rangle$, we shall denote by $\langle S\rangle^{T_G}$ the *T_G-ideal generated by the set S*, i.e., the smallest T_G-ideal containing S. Moreover, if $I=\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle^{T_G}$ we say $\mathcal{S}$ is a basis for I or that the elements of I *follow from* or *are consequences of* the elements of $\mathcal{S}$.

We introduce now the codimension sequence.

Definition 2.4. *Let $G=\{g_1,\dots,g_r\}$, X be a finite set such that $|X^g|=l_g$ and consider $F\langle X|G\rangle$. Let $V\subseteq F\langle X|G\rangle$ be a linear G-homogeneous subspace and $(n_1,\dots,n_r)\in\mathbb{N}^r$. Let A be a G-graded PI-algebra, then we define the $(n_1,\dots,n_r)$-th V codimension of A as $c_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}(A,V):=\dim_F V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}/(V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}\cap T_G(A))$, where $V_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)}$ is the vector space over F of the elements of V of total degree n_i in the variables of X^{g_i}.*

In this paper we are going to deal with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings over the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra. Sometimes we use the word *superalgebra* instead of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra. From now on we shall refer to the y_i's as variables of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree 0 and to the z_j's as variables of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree 1; $X = Y \cup Z$, where $|Y| = l$, $|Z| = m$, and we shall write $F\langle X\rangle$ instead of $F\langle X|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$. Moreover we will use the notation $T_2(A)$ instead of $T_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(A)$ for any superalgebra A.

Definition 2.5. *Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ be an infinite countable set, then we denote by $E = E(V)$ the Grassmann algebra generated by V, i.e. $F\langle V\rangle/I$, where for each i, $e_i = v_i + I$ and I is the ideal generated by $\{v_iv_j + v_jv_i | i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is well known that $B_E = \{e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_n\}$ is a basis of E as a vector space over F. Moreover we say $e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_l}$ is a basis element of E of length l with support $\{e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_l}\}$.*

Let us consider the map $\varphi : V \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $v_i \mapsto 1$. The map φ gives out a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading over E called *canonical grading*. In this case, let E^0 be the homogeneous component of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree 0 and let E^1 be the component of degree 1. It is easy to see that E^0 is the center of E and $ab + ba = 0$ for all $a, b \in E^1$. This means that E satisfies the following graded polynomial identities: $[y_1, y_2]$, $[y_1, z_1]$, $z_1z_2 + z_2z_1$. Now, let us consider the homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings over E. We recall the latter are induced by the maps $\deg_{k*}$, $\deg_\infty$, and $\deg_k$, defined respectively by

$$\deg_{k*}(e_i) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\deg_\infty(e_i) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } i \text{ odd} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\deg_k(e_i) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k \\ 1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From now on we shall denote by E_{k^*}, E_∞, E_k the Grassmann algebra endowed with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading induced by the maps $\deg_{k*}$, $\deg_\infty$, and $\deg_k$. We denote by E any of the superalgebras E_{k^*}, E_∞, E_k without distinguish them.

We introduce a special type of polynomials that turned out to be crucial when describing the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E (see [5]). Let us consider $[x_1, x_2] := x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$ the Lie comutator of x_1 with x_2. We shall define inductively $[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n] := [[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}], x_n]$, $n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$.

Let $f = z_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} \cdots z_{i_s}^{r_{i_s}} [z_{j_1}, z_{j_2}] \cdots [z_{j_{t-1}}, z_{j_t}]$ and consider the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\text{different homogeneous variables appearing in } f\} \subseteq \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}.$$

If $h = |\mathcal{S}|$, then $\mathcal{S} = \{z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_h}\}$. Notice also that f is linear in the commutators.

We consider now

$$T = \{j_1, \ldots, j_t\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$$

and let us denote the previous polynomial by

$$f_T(z_{i_1}, \cdots, z_{i_h}).$$

Definition 2.6. *For $m \geq 2$ let*

$$g_m(z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_h}) = \sum_{\substack{T \\ |T| \text{ even}}} (-2)^{-\frac{|T|}{2}} f_T(z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_h}),$$

moreover put $g_1(z_1) = z_1$.

The following is well known.

Lemma 2.7. *Let E be the Grassmann algebra over any field, then $[x_1, x_2, x_3] \in T_2(E)$.*

Keeping in mind Lemma 1.4.2 of [6], we have $[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]-[x_1,x_3][x_2,x_4]\in\langle[x_1,x_2,x_3]\rangle_{T_2}$. Notice also that $[x_2,x_1,\ldots,x_1]$, where x_1 appears p-times in the brakets of the last comutator, follows from $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$. If $charF=p$, we have $-[x_2,x_1,\ldots,x_1]=[x_1^p,x_2]$. Hence $[x_1^p,x_2]$ follows from $[x_1,x_2,x_3]$ when $charF=p>2$ (see Lemma 3.3 of [2]). Thus, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *The polynomials $[x_1^p,x_2],[x_1,x_2][x_3,x_4]-[x_1,x_3][x_2,x_4]$ belong to $\langle[x_1,x_2,x_3]\rangle_{T_2}$.*

From now on we shall denote by F a finite field such that $charF=p>2$ and $|F|=q$, by E the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra with unit 1_E generated by $\{e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n,\ldots\}$. The non-unitary infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra will be denoted by E^*. Moreover we shall denote by B the linear basis $\{e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_n}|i_1<\ldots<i_n,n\geq 0\}$ of E.

The next is an easy consequence of Lemma 1.2-b and Corollary 1.5-a of [13].

Lemma 2.9. *Let us consider $\lambda.1_E+a\in E$, where $a\in E^*,\lambda\in F$. Then $a^p=\lambda^p.1_E$. Moreover, $y_1^{pq}-y_1^p\in T_2(E)$.*

In light of Lemma 2.9, we have $z_1^p\in T_2(E)$.

Definition 2.10. *Let us consider $a=e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_n}\in B\cap E^*$. We shall define the support of a as the set $supp(a)=\{e_{i_1},\ldots,e_{i_n}\}$. We define the length-support of a as $wt(a):=|supp(a)|$. We set $supp(1_E)=\emptyset$ and $wt(1_E)=0$.*

Definition 2.11. *For every $g=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_ia_i\in E-\{0\}$, we define the support of g as $supp(g):=\cup_{i=1}^n supp(a_i)$, and the length-support of g as $wt(g):=max\{wt(a_i)|i=1,\cdots,n\}$. We shall define the dominating part of g as $dom(g):=\sum_{wt(a_i)=wt(g)}\lambda_ia_i$.*

Let $B=\{y_1,\cdots,y_n,\cdots,z_1,\cdots,z_n,\cdots,\cdots,[x_1,x_2],[x_1,x_3],\cdots,$
$[x_2,x_3],[x_2,x_4],\cdots,[x_{j_1},x_{j_2},x_{j_3}],\cdots,\cdots,[x_{j_1},\cdots,x_{j_n}],\cdots\}$ be an ordered linear basis for the subspace generated by X and commutators $[x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_n}],n=2,3,\cdots$.

By Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt's theorem we have the following polynomials

$$x_{i_1}^{a_1}\ldots x_{i_{n_1}}^{a_{n_2}}[x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_l}]^{b_1}\ldots[x_{r_1},\ldots,x_{r_t}]^{b_{n_2}},$$

where $a_1,\ldots,a_{n_1},b_1,\ldots,b_{n_2}$ are non-negative integers, form a linear basis of $F\langle X\rangle$. $x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_{n_1}},[x_{j_1},\cdots,x_{j_l}],\cdots,$ $[x_{r_1},\cdots,x_{r_t}]\in B$, and $x_{i_1}<\cdots<x_{i_{n_1}}<[x_{j_1},\cdots,x_{j_l}]<\cdots<[x_{r_1},\cdots,x_{r_t}]$.

From now on every polynomial of $F\langle X\rangle/T_2(E)$ will be written as a linear combination of elements of the latter basis which will be denoted by $Pr(X)$. Of course, due to Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 every element of $Pr(X)$ may be written modulo the graded identities as a linear combination of polynomials of type

$$(\prod_{r=1}^n y_{j_r}^{a_{j_r}})(\prod_{r=1}^m z_{i_r}^{b_{i_r}})[x_{t_1},x_{t_2}]\cdots[x_{t_{2l-1}},x_{t_{2l}}]\in Pr(X).$$

We consider now the following definition.

Definition 2.12. *Let $a=(\prod_{r=1}^n y_{j_r}^{a_{j_r}})(\prod_{r=1}^m z_{i_r}^{b_{i_r}})[x_{t_1},x_{t_2}]\cdots[x_{t_{2l-1}},x_{t_{2l}}]\in Pr(X)$. We shall denote:*

: *$beg(a):=(\prod_{r=1}^n y_{j_r}^{a_{j_r}})(\prod_{r=1}^m z_{i_r}^{b_{i_r}})$ and $\psi(a):=x_{t_1}\cdots x_{t_{2l}}$;*
: *$\Pi(Y)(a):=(\prod_{r=1}^n y_{j_r}^{a_{j_r}})$ and $\Pi(Z)(a):=(\prod_{r=1}^m z_{i_r}^{b_{i_r}})$;*
: *$pr(z)(a)=z_{i_1}$ (if $\Pi(Z)(a)\neq 1$);*
: *$Deg_{x_i}a$: the number of times in which the variable x_i appears in $beg(a)\psi(a)$;*
: *$deg_Ya:=\sum_{y\in Y}Deg_y(a)$, $deg_Za:=\sum_{z\in Z}Deg_z(a)$ and $dega:=deg_Za+deg_Ya$;*
: *$\mathcal{V}(a):=\{x\in X|Deg_x(a)>0\}$;*
: *$Yyn(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Y|Deg_x(beg(a))>0,Deg_x(\psi(a))=0\}$;*
: *$Yyy(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Y|Deg_x(beg(a))>0,Deg_x(\psi(a))>0\}$;*
: *$Yny(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Y|Deg_x(beg(a))=0,Deg_x(\psi(a))>0\}$;*
: *$Zyn(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Z|Deg_x(beg(a))>0,Deg_x(\psi(a))=0\}$;*
: *$Zyy(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Z|Deg_x(beg(a))>0,Deg_x(\psi(a))>0\}$;*
: *$Zny(a):=\{x\in\mathcal{V}(a)\cap Z|Deg_x(beg(a))=0,Deg_x(\psi(a))>0\}$.*

Definition 2.13. *A linear combination of elements of* $Pr(X) \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_n\rangle$ $f = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \lambda_j m_j$, *where* $\psi(m_1) = \cdots = \psi(m_l) = 1$, *is called p-polynomial if* $Deg_{y_i} m_j \equiv 0 \mod p$ *and* $Deg_{y_i} m_j < qp$ *for every* $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *e* $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$. *The vector space of p-polynomials in the variables* $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ *will be denoted by* $ppol(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$.

Observation 2.14. *It is easy to note that* $dim(ppol(y_1, \ldots, y_n)) = q^n$.

The next two results may be found in [7] (see [7], Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 4.6).

Proposition 2.15. *If* $f \in T_2(E)$ *is a p-polynomial, then* f *is 0.*

Corollary 2.16. *If* $f(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ *is a non-zero p-polynomial, then there exist* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in F$ *such that* $f(\alpha_1.1_E, \ldots, \alpha_m.1_E) \neq 0$.

3. The set SS and its total order

We shall construct a subset of $Pr(X)$ that will be useful in the descriptions of the relatively free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra of E that we are going to study through the paper.

Definition 3.1. *We say* $a \in Pr(X)$ *belongs to* SS *if* $Deg_x beg(a) \leq p-1$ *for every* $x \in X$ *and* $\psi(a) = 1$ *or* a *is multilinear.*

In [7] Proposition 6.1 the author describes the relatively free algebra

$$\frac{F\langle X\rangle}{\langle [x_1, x_2, x_3], z_1^p, y_1^{pq} - y_1^p\rangle^{T_{\mathbb{Z}_2}}}.$$

In particular, we have the next result (see [7] proposition 6.1).

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$ *be a linear combination of elements of* $Pr(X)$. *Then* f *may be written modulo* $\langle [x_1, x_2, x_3], z_1^p, y_1^{pq} - y_1^p\rangle_{T_2}$, *as:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i u_i,$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ *are p-polynomials and* $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ *are distinct elements of* SS.

We are going to order a subset of SS with the right lexicographic order while the total order on SS was presented in [7].

Definition 3.3. *Let* $u, v \in SS$ *such that* $\psi(u) = \psi(v) = 1$. *We say* $u <_{lex-rig} v$ *when* $Deg_{x_i} u < Deg_{x_i} v$ *for some* $x_i \in X$ *and* $Deg_x u = Deg_x v$ *for every* $x > x_i$ *(with respect to the ordered basis given by* X *and the commutators).*

Definition 3.4. *Given* $u, v \in SS$, *we say* $u < v$ *when:*

: $degu < degv$ *or*
: $degu = degv$, *but* $beg(u) <_{lex-rig} beg(v)$ *or*
: $degu = degv, beg(u) = beg(v)$, *but* $\psi(u) <_{lex-rig} \psi(v)$.

Definition 3.5. *Let* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i$ *be a linear combination of distinct elements of* SS. *We shall call leading term of* f, *denoted by* $LT(f)$, *the element* $u_i \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ *such that* $u_j \leq u_i$ *for every* $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Definition 3.6. *Let* $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i$ *a linear combination of distinct elements of* SS. *We shall call* u_i *bad if the following conditions hold:*

: $Deg_x(u_i) = Deg_x(LT(f))$ *for every* $x \in X$;
: *If* $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) > 0$ *and* $z \in Z - \{pr(z)(LT(f))\}$, *then* $Deg_z beg(LT(f)) = Deg_z beg(u_i)$;
: *If* $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) > 0$ *and* $z = pr(z)(LT(f))$, *then* $Deg_z(beg(u_i)) + 1 = Deg_z(beg(LT(f)))$;
: *For every* $x \in Y$, *we have* $Deg_x beg(LT(f)) \leq Deg_x beg(u_i)$.

If f *has a bad term, we shall denote by* $LBT(f)$ *its greater bad term.*

Observation 3.7. *Notice that if* f *has a bad term* u_i, *then* $u_i < LT(f)$. *Moreover, there exists a variable* $x \in Y$ *such that* $Deg_x(beg(LT(f))) < Deg_x(beg(u_i))$.

Definition 3.8. *Let* $u \in SS$. *We say* u *is of Type-*0 *(or* $u \in SS0$*) if the following conditions hold:*

: $\psi(u) = 1$;
: $\Pi(Z)(u)$ *is* 1 *or a multilinear polynomial.*

In 8.1 de [7], Theorem 8.1 the author shows up a basis for the graded identities of E_{can}. In particular, we have the following.

Theorem 3.9. *The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_{can} follow from the identities:*

$$y_1y_2 - y_2y_1, z_1z_2 + z_2z_1, y_1z_2 - z_2y_1 \ e\ y_1^{pq} - y_1^p.$$

Notice that z_1^2 is a consequence of $z_1z_2+z_2z_1$. Hence $z_1^p \in T_2(E_{can})$. Note also that $2z_1z_2 = [z_1, z_2]$ modulo $T_2(E_{can})$ and $[x_1, x_2, x_3] \in \langle y_1y_2 - y_2y_1, z_1z_2 + z_2z_1, y_1z_2 - z_2y_1\rangle_{T_2}$. In light of the previous comments and Theorem 3.9, it follows easily the next result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ be a linear combination of elements of $Pr(X)$. Then f may be written modulo $\langle y_1y_2 - y_2y_1, z_1z_2 + z_2z_1, y_1z_2 - z_2y_1, y_1^{pq} - y_1^p\rangle_{T_2}$, as:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f_iu_i,$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are p-polynomials and $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are distinct elements of $SS0$.

In [7] Theorema 8.2, the author shows a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_∞. In particular we have the following.

Theorem 3.11. *The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_∞ follow from:*

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3], z_1^p \ e\ y_1^{pq} - y_1^p.$$

In [7] Theorem 9.3, the author shows a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_{k^*}, where $k \geq 1$.
In particular, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.12. *The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_{k^*} follow from:*

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3], z_1^p, z_1 \cdots z_{k+1} \ e\ y_1^{pq} - y_1^p.$$

When $k < p$, we have z_1^p follows from $z_1.\cdots.z_{k+1}$.
In [2] Theorem 3.1, Ochir and Rankin showed a basis for the ordinary identities of E. By the latter result, it is easy to show that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_{0^*} follow from the polynomials $[y_1, y_2, y_3], y_1^{pq} - y^p, z_1$. Notice that z_1^p follows from z_1.

Definition 3.13. *An element $a \in SS$ is said to be of Type-1 (or $u \in SS1$) if $deg_Z(a) \leq k$.*

Due to the identity $z_1 \cdots z_{k+1} \in T_2(G_{k^*})$, we have another version of Proposition 3.2 for E_{k^*}. The next result is also true in the case $k = 0$ (see [7] Proposition 9.2).

Proposition 3.14. *Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ be a linear combination of elements of $Pr(X)$. Then f may be written modulo $\langle [x_1, x_2, x_3], z_1^p, y_1^{pq} - y_1^p, z_1 \cdots z_{k+1}\rangle_{T_2}$, as:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f_iu_i,$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are p-polynomials and $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in SS1$ are distinct.

In [7] Theorem 10.17, the author describes a basis for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_k when $k \geq 1$. In particular we have the next result.

Theorem 3.15. *The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded identities of E_k follow from the following polynomial identities:*

- $[y_1, y_2] \cdots [y_k, y_{k+1}]$ *(if k is odd) (1);*
- $[y_1, y_2] \cdots [y_{k-1}, y_k][y_{k+1}, x]$ *(if k is even and $x \in X - \{y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}\}$) (2);*
- $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ *(3);*
- $g_{k-l+2}(z_1, \cdots, z_{k-l+2})[y_1, y_2] \cdots [y_{l-1}, y_l]$ *(if $l \leq k$ and l is even) (4);*
- $g_{k-l+2}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-l+2})[z_{k-l+3}, y_1][y_2, y_3] \cdots [y_{l-1}, y_l]$ *(if $l \leq k$ and l is odd) (5);*
- $[g_{k-l+2}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-l+2}), y_1] \cdots [y_{l-1}, y_l]$ *(if $l \leq k$ and l is odd) (6);*
- z_1^p *(7);*
- $y_1^{pq} - y_1^p$ *(8).*

Definition 3.16. *An element $u_i \in SS$ is said to be of Type-2 (or $u_i \in SS2$) if the following condition holds:*

: $deg_Y(\psi(u_i)) \leq k$ *and* $deg_Z(beg(u_i)) + deg_Y(\psi(u_i)) \leq k+1$.

Definition 3.17. *An element $u_i \in SS$ is said to be of Type-*3 *(or $u_i \in SS3$) if the following conditions hold:*
: $u_i \in SS2$;
: *If* $deg_Z(beg(u_i)) + deg_Y(\psi(u_i)) = k+1$, *then* $Deg_{pr(z)(u_i)}\psi(u_i) = 0$.

Now we have the next result (see [7] Proposition 10.16).

Proposition 3.18. *Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i$ be a linear combination of elements of $Pr(X)$. Then f may be written modulo $T_2(E_k)$, as:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i u_i,$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are p-polynomials and $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are distinct elements of $SS3$.

4. Bounds for $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded codimensions of E

In this section we are going to give an upper and a lower bound for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded codimension of E endowed with a homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. We shall start with some general facts whereas in the next sections we study each case separately.

In the sequel F will denote a finite field of characteristic $p > 2$ and order q unless explicitely written. We recall that if A is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded PI-algebra we denote by $U_{l,m}(A)$ its $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded relatively free algebra in l variables of degree 0 and m variables of degree 1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let F be a finite field such that $|F| = q$, then*

$$\dim_F U_{l,m}(E) \leq \frac{(l+m)^{pql+pm+1} - 1}{l+m-1},$$

where E is assumed $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded by an homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

Proof. Let W be a linear subspace of $F\langle X\rangle$ such that $\dim_F W = l+m$ and $F\langle X\rangle = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0}(W^{\otimes d})$. We consider $R_n = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{n}(W^{\otimes d})$, then $\dim_F R_n = \sum_{d=0}^{n}(l+m)^d = \frac{(l+m)^{n+1}-1}{l+m-1}$. Let $f \in F\langle X\rangle$ and suppose f multihomogeneous, then f may be written as

$$y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_l^{a_l} z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_m^{b_m} g(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m),$$

where $g(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ is multilinear. By Lemma 2.9 there exist a_i''s and b_j''s, where $a_i' < pq$ and $b_j' < p$, such that f is $y_1^{a_1'} \cdots y_l^{a_l'} z_1^{b_1'} \cdots z_m^{b_m'} g(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ modulo its graded identities. This means $f \in R_{pql+pm}$ modulo the identities and the assertion follows. □

The previous result gives us that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of E in a fixed number of graded variables is 0. See [4] for more details about the graded Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of graded algebras and [3] for a comparison with the case of E over an infinite field. Now we start to focus on codimensions. In what follows V will denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of $F\langle X\rangle$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let F be a field (maybe infinite) of characteristic $p \neq 0$, then if $n_2 \geq m(p+1)$ we have $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, V) = 0$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to observe that the result is true if it is true on multihomogeneous polynomials. Hence let $f = f(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ be multihomogeneous such that $\deg_Y f = n_1$ and $\deg_Z f = n_2$, then we may assume

$$f = y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_l^{a_l} z_1^{b_1} \cdots z_m^{b_m} g(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m),$$

where $g(y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ is multilinear. Then $b_1 + \cdots + b_m + m = \deg_Z f = n_2$ which implies $b_1 + \ldots + b_m = n_2 - m \geq mp$, hence some of the b_i's is greater than p and the assertion follows because of Lemma 2.9. □

By Proposition 4.1 we have the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Fon any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, V) \leq \frac{(l+m)^{pql+pm+1} - 1}{l+m-1}.$$

Let W be any generating subspace of $F\langle X\rangle$ and do consider $W(n) = W^{\otimes n}$. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Because of Lemma 2.9 we have the T_2-ideal of E is not homogeneous we cannot recover the graded codimensions $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, W(n))$ from the homogeneous ones, then we have to study them one by one.

For further use we recall the following combinatorial tool (see the book of Stanley [14]).

Proposition 4.4. *The number of commutative monomials of degree n in k variables such that each variable has degree strictly less than j is given by*

$$\kappa(n, j, k) = \sum_{r+sj=n} (-1)^s \binom{k+r-1}{r}\binom{k}{s}.$$

We may also count the number of p-polynomials of a certain degree.

Lemma 4.5. *Let s be an integer. If p divides s, the number of p-polynomials in l variables of degree s is*

$$p(s) := q^{\kappa(s/p,q,l)},$$

otherwise it is 0 and we write $p(s) = 1$.

Moreover, we have the following combinatorial lemmas counting the number of polynomials of SSi having degree n_1 with respect to even variables e degree n_2 with respect to the odd ones.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of SS having 0-degree n_1 and 1-degree n_2 is given by:*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS) = \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n_1+n_2}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n_1+n_2}{2s} + \{\kappa(n_1, p, l)\kappa(n_2, p, m)\},$$

where the last summand appears for non-multilinear elements of SS.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of $SS0$ having 0-degree n_1 and 1-degree n_2 is given by:*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS0) = \kappa(n_1, p, l)\kappa(n_2, 2, m).$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $n_2 \leq k$, then the number of elements of $SS1$ having 0-degree n_1 and 1-degree n_2 is given by:*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS1) = \sum_{2s=0}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq k \\ \beta \leq 2s}} \binom{m}{\beta}\binom{l}{2s-\beta}\binom{m-\beta}{n_2-\beta}\binom{l-2s+\beta}{n_1-2s+\beta} + \{\kappa(n_2, p, m)\kappa(n_1, p, l)\},$$

where the last summand appears for non-multilinear elements of $SS1$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of $SS2$ having 0-degree n_1 and 1-degree n_2 is given by:*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS2) = \sum_{2s=0}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq k \\ \beta \leq 2s \\ \beta \leq \frac{k+1+2s-n_2}{2}}} \binom{l}{\beta}\binom{m}{2s-\beta}\binom{l-\beta}{n_1-\beta}\binom{m-2s+\beta}{n_2-2s+\beta} + \{\kappa(n_2, p, m)\kappa(n_1, p, l)\},$$

where the last summand appears for non-multilinear elements of $SS2$ when $n_2 \leq k+1$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of $SS3$ having 0-degree n_1 and 1-degree n_2 is given by:*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS3) = \sum_{2s=0}^{n_1+n_2} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq k \\ \beta \leq 2s \\ \beta < \frac{k+1+2s-n_2}{2}}} \binom{l}{\beta}\binom{m}{2s-\beta}\binom{l-\beta}{n_1-\beta}\binom{m-2s+\beta}{n_2-2s+\beta}$$

$$+\sum_{2s=0}^{n_1+n_2}\sum_{\substack{\beta\leq k\\ \beta\leq 2s\\ \beta=\frac{k+1+2s-n_2}{2}}}\binom{l}{\beta}\binom{m-1}{2s-\beta}\binom{l-\beta}{n_1-\beta}\binom{m-2s+\beta}{n_2-2s+\beta}+\{\kappa(n_2,p,m)\kappa(n_1,p,l)\},$$

where the last summand appears for non-multilinear elements of $SS3$ when $n_2\leq k+1$.

In light of the above lemmas, the next ones count the number of polynomials f_iu_i, where f_i is a p-polynomial and u_i's are element of SSj, having degree n_1 with respect to even variables e degree n_2 with respect to the odd ones.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of the type fu_i, where f is a monomial which is a p-polynomial and u_i's are element of SSj, having degree n_1 with respect to even variables e degree n_2 with respect to the odd ones is given by:*

$$c^*_{(n_1,n_2)}(SSj):=\sum_{\substack{s_i\leq q-1\\ p\sum s_i\leq n_1}}c_{(n_1-p\sum s_i,n_2)}(SSj).$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{N}$, then the number of elements of the type fu_i, where f is a p-polynomial and u_i's are element of SSj, having degree n_1 with respect to even variables e degree n_2 with respect to the odd ones is given by:*

$$c^\circ_{(n_1,n_2)}(SSj):=\sum_{s\leq n_1}p(s)c_{(n_1-s,n_2)}(SSj).$$

5. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded homogeneous codimensions

We start with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded homogeneous codimensions. In this section V will denote the set of multihomogeneous polynomials. In this case we are able to find an exact value for $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E,V)$ for each homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of E.

Let $Multi(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ be the set of multihomogeneous polynomials of $F\langle X\rangle$ in the variables $y_1,\dots,y_l,z_1,\dots,z_m$ and multidegree $(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ and let us denote by

$$MultiFree(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m):=Multi(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)/(Multi(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)\cap T_2(E)).$$

Let us note that

$$1\leq a_1,\dots,a_l\leq pq \text{ and } 1\leq b_1,\dots,b_m\leq p.$$

Then from now on if $(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ is the multidegree of a multihomogeneous polynomial, we tacitely assume $a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m$ are bounded as above.

5.1. E_{can}.

Definition 5.1. *Let us denote by $pPol-SS0(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ the set of polynomials of type $fu\in Multifree(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$, where f* is a monomial p-polynomial with coefficient 1, $u_i\in SS0$.

It is not difficult to see that if $fu,f'u'\in Multifree(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ are such that $u=u'$, then $f=f'$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.10, we have $pPol-SS0(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ is a generating set of the vector space $Multifree(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$. In what follows we shall prove that the set of polynomials $pPol-SS0(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ is a linearly independent set.

Proposition 5.2. *The set $pPol-SS0(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Let us suppose $f\in pPol-SS0(a_1,\dots,a_l,b_1,\dots,b_m)$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^n\gamma_if_iu_i=0$ where $\gamma_i\neq 0$ for some $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. Let us suppose, without loss of generality, u_i is the biggest term of $\{u_1,\dots,u_n\}$.

Due to Corollary 2.16 there exist $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l\in F$ such that $f_i(\lambda_11_E,\dots,\lambda_l1_E)\neq 0$.

Let us consider now the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle \to E$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_1$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_m \mapsto e_m$$
$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_{m+1}e_{m+2} + \ldots + e_{m+2Deg_{y_1}(u_i)-1}e_{m+2Deg_{y_1}(u_i)}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_l \mapsto \lambda_l 1_E + e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l-1}}(u_i))+1}e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l-1}}(u_i))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_l}(u_i))-1}e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_l}(u_i))}$$

Notice that $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = \beta\gamma_i e_1.\ldots.e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_l}(u_i))}$ for some $\beta \in F - \{0\}$. Moreover, if $j \neq i$, we have $wt(\phi(f_j u_j)) < m + 2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i) + \ldots + Deg_{y_l}(u_i))$. Hence

$$dom(\phi(f)) = \lambda\alpha_i e_1.\ldots.e_{m+2(Deg_{y_1}(u_i)+\ldots+Deg_{y_l}(u_i))} \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction and we are done. □

Corollary 5.3. *The set $pPol - SS0(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a basis for $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$.*

By Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 4.11 we have the next.

Theorem 1. *Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_{can}, V) = c^*_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS0).$$

5.2. E_∞.

Definition 5.4. *Let us denote by $pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ the set of polynomials of type $fu \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$, where f* is a monomial p-polynomial with coefficient 1, *$u_i \in SS$.*

It is not difficult to see that if $fu, f'u' \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ are such that $u = u'$, then $f = f'$.

In the sequel we shall always assume

$$Yyn(LT(f)), Yyy(LT(f)), Zyn(LT(f)), Zyy(LT(f)), Zny(LT(f)), Yny(LT(f))$$

being non-empty. For the sake of convenience, we shall assume each of the first four sets having at least two elements. We shall denote them by:

: $Yyn(LT(f)) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{l_1}\}$,
: $Yyy(LT(f)) = \{y_{n_1+1}, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2}\}$,
: $Yny(LT(f)) = \{y_{l_1+l_2+1}, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}\}$,
: $Zyn(LT(f)) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1}\}$,
: $Zyy(LT(f)) = \{z_{m_1+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2}\}$,
: $Zny(LT(f)) = \{z_{m_1+m_2+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.2, we have $pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a generating set for the vector space $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$. We shall prove $pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a linearly independent set.

Proposition 5.5. *The set $pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Set $l = l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ and $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Let us suppose $f \in pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$, such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i f_i u_i = 0$, where $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We shall denote by $LT(f)$ the biggest term of $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. We shall suppose, without loss of generality, $u_i = LT(f)$.

Due to Corollary 2.16 there exist $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in F$ such that $f_i(\lambda_1 1_E, \ldots, \lambda_l 1_E) \neq 0$.

We shall denote by $\alpha_1 = 4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + 2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f))) + 2(l_2 - l_1)$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + 2.l_3$, $\alpha_4 = \alpha_3 + 2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_5 = 2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))) - 1$, $\alpha_6 = \alpha_5 + 2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_7 = \alpha_6 + 2.m_3$ e $\alpha_8 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + 2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))) + 2(2 - (m_2 + 1)) - 1$.

Let us consider the following graded homomorphism $\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$:

$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_2 e_4 + \ldots + e_{4.Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-2} e_{4.Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1} 1_E + e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+4} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))-2} e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{l_1+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+1} 1_E + e_{\alpha_1+2} + e_{\alpha_1+4} e_{\alpha_1+6} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+4.Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))} e_{\alpha_1+4.Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2} 1_E + e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(l_2-l_1)} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(l_2-l_1)+2} e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(l_2-l_1)+4} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f)))+2(l_2-l_1-1)} e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_2+l_1}}(LT(f)))+2(l_2-l_1)}$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+1} 1_E + e_{\alpha_2+2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+l_3} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3} 1_E + e_{\alpha_2+2.l_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_1 e_{\alpha_3+2} + \ldots + e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)))-1} e_{\alpha_3+2(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1} e_{\alpha_3+2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))-1} e_{\alpha_3+2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_5+2} + e_{\alpha_5+4} e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+3} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_5+2Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))} e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+4} e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(2-m_2)+1} +$$
$$\ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))} e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))+2(2-(m_2+1))-1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_6+2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_6+2.m_3}$$

It is easy to see that $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = \beta\gamma_i e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_7} e_2.\cdots.e_{\alpha_8}$ for some $\beta \in F$ different from 0.

We are done if we prove $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = dom(\phi(f))$ because, in this case, we have $f = 0$ which is an absurd.

Let $u_j \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ such that $u_j < u_i$. By Lemma 7.1 of [7], none of the summands of $dom(\phi(f_j u_j))$ contains $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{\alpha_7}, e_2, \ldots, e_{\alpha_8}\}$ in its support. So we have $dom(\phi(f)) = dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i))$ and we are done. □

Corollary 5.6. *The set $pPol - SS(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a basis for $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$.*

By Corollary 5.6 and Lemma 4.11 we have the next.

Theorem 2. *Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_\infty, V) = c^*_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS).$$

5.3. E_{k^*}.

Definition 5.7. *Let us denote by $pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ the set of polynomials of type $fu \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$, where f* is a monomial p-polynomial with coefficient 1, *$u_i \in SS1$.*

We observe that if $fu, f'u' \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ are such that $u = u'$, then $f = f'$.

In light of of Proposition 3.14, we have $pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a generating set for the vector space $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$. We shall prove $pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a linearly independent set.

Proposition 5.8. *The set $pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Set $l = l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ and $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Let us suppose $f \in pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i f_i u_i = 0$, where $\gamma_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We shall denote by $LT(f)$ the biggest term of $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. We shall suppose, without loss of generality, $u_i = LT(f)$.

Due to Corollary 2.16 there exist $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in F$ such that $f_i(\lambda_1 1_E, \ldots, \lambda_l 1_E) \neq 0$.

We shall denote by $\alpha = k + 2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f))), \alpha_1 = \alpha + 2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f))), \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + l_3, \alpha_3 = Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))$.

Let us consider the following graded homomorphism $\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$$
$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1} 1_E + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{l_1+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+1} 1_E + e_{\alpha+1} + e_{\alpha+2}e_{\alpha+3} + \ldots + e_{\alpha+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2} 1_E + e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+l_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+l_2+1}e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+l_2+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f)))+l_2-1}e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f)))+l_2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+l_3} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3} 1_E + e_{\alpha_1+l_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_1 e_{\alpha_2} + \ldots + e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+1} + e_{\alpha_3+2}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+2}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i))$$
$$= \beta\gamma_i e_1 \cdots e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}e_{k+1} \cdots e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2}$$

for some non-zero $\beta \in F$.

Notice that we are done if we prove $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = dom(\phi(f)) = 0$.

Let us consider $u_j \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. By Lemma 7.1 of [7], none of the summands of $dom(\phi(\gamma_j f_j u_j))$ contains $supp(dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)))$, then $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = dom(\phi(f))$ and we are done. □

Corollary 5.9. *The set $pPol - SS1(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a basis for $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$.*

By Corollary 5.9 and Lemma 4.11 we have the next.

Theorem 3. *Let $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_{k^*}, V) = c^*_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS1).$$

5.4. $E_k, k \geq 1$.

Definition 5.10. *Let us denote by $pPol - SS3(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ the set of polynomials of type $fu \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$, where f* is a monomial p-polynomial with coefficient 1, $u_i \in SS3$.

As above, if $fu, f'u' \in Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ are such that $u = u'$, then $f = f'$.

Due to Proposition 3.18, we have $pPol - SS3(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a generating set for the vector space $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$. In what follows we shall prove $pPol - SS3(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a linearly independent set.

In what follows we use an idea of proof used by Fonseca in [7] (see Theorem 10.17 of [7]).

Proposition 5.11. *The set $pPol - SS3(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is linearly independent.*

Proof. Set $l = l_1+l_2+l_3$ and $m = m_1+m_2+m_3$. Suppose that there exists $f \in pPol-SS3(a_1,\ldots,a_l,b_1,\ldots,b_m)$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i f_i u_i = 0$, with $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Moreover, we shall suppose, without loss of generality, $u_i = LT(f)$. We shall denote by $\alpha_1 = k + 2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f))), \alpha_2 = \alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))-2l_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)), \alpha_4 = l_2 + l_3 + Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)), \alpha_5 = \alpha_3 + Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)) + m_3, \alpha_6 = \alpha_4 + Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)) - m_2$.

Because $f_i(y_1,\ldots,y_l)$ is a non-zero p-polynomial, due to Corollary 2.16 there exist scalars $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_l \in F$ such that $f_i(\lambda_1 1_E,\ldots,\lambda_l 1_E) \neq 0$.

We have to consider three cases.

: Case 1: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) \leq k$;
: Case 2: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) = k+1$ and none of the elements of $\{u_1,\ldots,u_n\}$ is a bad term;
: Case 3: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) = k+1$ and there exists a term $u_j \in \{u_1,\ldots,u_n\}$ such that $\lambda_j \neq 0$ and u_j is a bad term.

Let us study Case 1 first. Let us consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1,\ldots,y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1,\ldots,z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$$
$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1} 1_E + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{l_1+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+1} 1_E + e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2} 1_E + e_{l_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f)))-2l_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))-2l_2}$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+1} 1_E + e_{l_2+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+l_3} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3} 1_E + e_{l_2+l_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1}e_{l_2+l_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}$$

In this case we have $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = \beta.\gamma_i e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\beta \in F - \{0\}$. By Lemma 7.1 of [7], we obtain $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = \beta.\gamma_i e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5} = dom(f) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Now we study Case 2. Let us consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1,\ldots,y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1,\ldots,z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$$
$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{l_1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1} 1_E + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{l_1+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+1} 1_E + e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$

$$\cdots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2}1_E + e_{l_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LT(f)))-2l_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LT(f)))-2l_2}$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+1}1_E + e_{l_2+1}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+l_3} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3}1_E + e_{l_2+l_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+1}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2-1}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}$$

Notice that $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = \beta.\gamma_i e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6-1}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\beta \in F - \{0\}$. By Lemma 7.2 of [7], we obtain $dom(\phi(\gamma_i f_i u_i)) = dom(f) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Finally, we deal with Case 3. We suppose that $u_k = LBT(f)$. Notice that for Case 3, we will have $deg_Z(beg(LBT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LBT(f))) \leq k$. Keeping in mind Case 2, we have $z_1 = pr(z)(LT(f))$ when $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) = k+1$.

We shall construct a graded homomorphism assuming, without loss of generality, the next facts:

: $Yyn(LBT(f)) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1}\}$(with $|Yyy(LBT(f))| \geq 2$);
: $Yyn(LBT(f)) = \{y_{n_1+1}, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2}\}$(with $|Yyn(LBT(f))| \geq 2$);
: $Yny(LBT(f)) = \{y_{n_1+n_2+1}, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}\}$;
: $Zyn(LBT(f)) = \{z_2, \ldots, z_{m_1}\}$(with $|Zyn(LBT(f))| \geq 2$);
: $Zyy(LBT(f)) = \{z_1, z_{m_1+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2}\}$(with $|Zyy(LBT(f))| \geq 2$);
: $Zny(LBT(f)) = \{z_{m_1+m_2+1}, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\}$.

There exists scalars $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l \in F$ such that $f_k(\lambda_1 1_E, \ldots, \lambda_l 1_E) \neq 0$

We consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$$
$$y_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 1_E + e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$y_{l_1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1}1_E + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LBT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1-1}}(LBT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LBT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1}}(LBT(f)))}$$
$$y_{l_1+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+1}1_E + e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))-2}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2}1_E + e_{l_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LBT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LBT(f)))+2(1-l_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2}}(LBT(f)))-2l_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{l_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{l_1+l_2-1}}(LBT(f)))-2l_2}$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+1} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+1}1_E + e_{l_2+1}$$
$$\cdots$$
$$y_{l_1+l_2+l_3} \mapsto \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3}1_E + e_{l_2+l_3}$$
$$z_2 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1}e_{l_2+l_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\cdots$$

$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LBT(f))+1}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LBT(f))+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LBT(f))}e_{l_2+l_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))} + e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))-m_2+2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))-m_2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))+m_3}$$

Notice that $dom(\phi(\gamma_k f_k u_k)) = \beta.\gamma_k e_1.\ldots.e_{\alpha_6}e_{k+1}\ldots e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\beta \in F - \{0\}$. By Lemma 7.3 of [7], we get $0 = dom(\phi(f)) = dom(\phi(\gamma_k f_k u_k))$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.12. *The set $pPol - SS3(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a basis for $Multifree(a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$.*

By Corollary 5.12 and Lemma 4.11 we have the next.

Theorem 4. *Let $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_k, V) = c^*_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS3).$$

6. Bounds for $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded codimensions with respect to a generating subspace of $F\langle X\rangle$

As mentioned above, if W is a generating subspace of $F\langle X\rangle$, we are not able to recover the codimensions of $W(n)$ from the homogeneous ones. In this section we provide a lower and an upper bound for $c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E, W(n))$ for each homogeneous $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of E.

6.1. E_{can}.

We start with the canonical $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on E.

Lemma 6.1. *The polynomials $SS0 \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$ are linearly independent modulo $T_2(E_{can})$.*

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i M_i \equiv 0$, where the M_i's belong to $SS0 \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$ modulo $T_2(E_{can})$. We shall assume without loss of generality that each variable appears in each summand of f with degree at least. Suppose, by contradiction that there exists $M_j \in \{M_1, \ldots, M_l\}$, such that $\lambda_j \neq 0$. Moreover we shall assume $M_j = LT(f)$. Let us define $\alpha = 2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))$.

Let $n_1 \leq l$ and $n_2 \leq m$. We consider the graded homomorphism $\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{n_2}\rangle \to E_{can}$:

$$y_1 \mapsto e_1e_2 + \ldots + e_{2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-1}e_{2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1} \mapsto e_{2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots + e_{2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{n_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha+n_2}$$

Notice that $\phi(LT(f)) = \lambda e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha+n_2}$ for some $\lambda \in F - \{0\}$.

If there exists $M_i \in \{M_1, \ldots, M_l\}$, such that $M_i < LT(f)$ and $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then there exists $x \in Y$ such that $Deg_x(M_i) < Deg_x(LT(f))$. Let us observe that $supp(\phi(x))$ is not contained in any of the summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$. So the support of each summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$ does not contain $supp(\phi(LT(f)))$. Then $dom(\phi(f)) = \phi(LT(f)) = \lambda.e_1 \cdots e_{\alpha+n_2} = 0$ which is a contradiction and we are done. □

Combining Lemma 4.7 and Proposition 3.10 and in light of Lemma 4.12 we have the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ we have*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS0) \leq c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_{can}, W(n)) \leq c^{\circ}_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS0).$$

6.2. E_∞.

We shall consider now the case of E_∞.

Lemma 6.3. *The polynomials $SS\cap F\langle y_1,\dots,y_l,z_1,\dots,z_m\rangle$ form a linearly independent set modulo $T_2(E_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i M_i\equiv 0$ a linear combination modulo $T_2(E_\infty)$, of elements of $SS\cap F\langle y_1,\dots,y_l,z_1,\dots,z_m\rangle$. We may assume each variable appears in each summand of f with degree at least 1. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $M_j\in\{M_1,\dots,M_n\}$, such that $\lambda_j\neq 0$. We shall also assume, without loss of generality that $M_j=LT(f)$. Let us denote by $\alpha_1=4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_2=\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1)$, $\alpha_3=\alpha_2+2.n_3$, $\alpha_4=\alpha_3+2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_5=2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))-1$, $\alpha_6=\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))$, $\alpha_7=\alpha_6+2.m_3$ e $\alpha_8=\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))+2(2-(m_2+1))-1$

Let $n_1+n_2+n_3\leq l$ and $m_1+m_2+m_3\leq m$.

We consider the graded homomorphism $\phi: F\langle y_1,\dots,y_{n_1+n_2+n_3},z_1,\dots,z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle\to E_\infty$:

$$y_1\mapsto e_2e_4+\ldots+e_{4.Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-2}e_{4.Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\dots$$
$$y_{n_1}\mapsto e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2}e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+4}+\ldots+$$
$$e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))-2}e_{4(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{n_1+1}\mapsto e_{\alpha_1+2}+e_{\alpha_1+4}e_{\alpha_1+6}+\ldots+e_{\alpha_1+4.Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_1+4.Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+2}$$
$$\dots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2}\mapsto e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1)}+$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1)+2}e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1)+4}+$$
$$\dots+$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1-1)}e_{\alpha_1+4(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_2+n_1}}(LT(f)))+2(n_2-n_1)}$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+1}\mapsto e_{\alpha_2+2}$$
$$\dots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}\mapsto e_{\alpha_2+2.n_3}$$
$$z_1\mapsto e_1e_{\alpha_3+2}+\ldots+e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)))-1}e_{\alpha_3+2(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)))}$$
$$\dots$$
$$z_{m_1}\mapsto e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{\alpha_3+2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2}+\ldots+$$
$$e_{2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{\alpha_3+2.(Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$z_{m_1+1}\mapsto e_{\alpha_5+2}+e_{\alpha_5+4}e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+3}+\ldots+e_{\alpha_5+2Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\dots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2}\mapsto e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2}+$$
$$e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+4}e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(2-m_2)+1}+$$
$$\dots+$$
$$e_{\alpha_5+2(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))}e_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5+2.(Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)))+2(2-(m_2+1))-1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1}\mapsto e_{\alpha_6+2}$$
$$\dots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\mapsto e_{\alpha_6+2.m_3}$$

Notice that $\phi(LT(f))=\lambda\lambda_j e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_7}e_2.\cdots.e_{\alpha_8}$ for some $\lambda\in F-\{0\}$.

Suppose there exists $M_i\in\{M_1,\dots,M_n\}$ such that $M_i<LT(f)$ e $\lambda_i\neq 0$. We claim that none of the support of any summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$ contains $supp(g)$, where $g=e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_7}e_2.\cdots.e_{\alpha_8}$.

: Case 1: $deg(M_i)<deg(LT(f))$.

In this case there exists a variable $x\in X$ such that $Deg_x(LT(f))>Deg_x(M_i)$. So by the definition of ϕ, we have $supp(\phi(x))$ is not contained in any of the summand of $(dom(\phi(M_i)))$.

: Case 2: $deg(M_i)=deg(LT(f))$, but $beg(M_i)<_{lex-rig}beg(LT(f))$.

In this case there exists a variable $x\in X$ such that $Deg_x(beg(LT(f)))>Deg_x(beg(M_i))$. Again by the definition of ϕ, we have $supp(\phi(x))$ is not contained in the support of any of the summand of $\phi(M_i)$.

: Case 3: $deg(M_i) = deg(LT(f)), beg(M_i) = beg(LT(f))$, but $\psi(M_i) <_{lex-rig} \psi(LT(f))$. In this case there exists a variable $x \in X$ such that $Deg_x(\psi(LT(f))) = 1$ and $Deg_x(\psi(M_i)) = 0$. Analogously to Case 1, $supp(\phi(x))$ is not contained in the support of any summand of $\phi(M_i)$.

In light of the previous cases we have $dom(\phi(f)) = \phi(LT(f))$. On the other hand $\phi(f) = 0$, then $dom(\phi(f)) = 0$. This means $\lambda.\lambda_j g = 0$ which is a contradiction and the proof is complete. □

Combining Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 3.2 and in light of Lemma 4.12 we have the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ we have*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS) \leq c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_\infty, W(n)) \leq c^\circ_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS).$$

6.3. E_{k^*}.

Lemma 6.5. *The polynomials $SS1 \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$ form a linearly independent set modulo $T_2(E_{k^*})$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 6.3. We shall define $\alpha = k + 2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f))), \alpha_1 = \alpha + 2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f))), \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + n_3, \alpha_3 = Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))$.

Let $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq l$ and $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \leq m$. Then we consider the next graded homomorphism:

$$\begin{gathered}
\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E \\
y_1 \mapsto e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)))} \\
\ldots \\
y_{n_1} \mapsto e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots + \\
e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))} \\
y_{n_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha+1} + e_{\alpha+2}e_{\alpha+3} + \ldots + e_{\alpha+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+1} \\
\ldots \\
y_{n_1+n_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+n_2} + \\
e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+n_2+1}e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+n_2+2} + \ldots + \\
e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))+n_2-1}e_{\alpha+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))+n_2} \\
\ldots \\
y_{n_1+n_2+n_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_1+n_3} \\
z_1 \mapsto e_1e_{\alpha_2} + \ldots + e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))} \\
\ldots \\
z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots + \\
e_{Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))} \\
z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+1} + e_{\alpha_3+2}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1} \\
\ldots \\
z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \\
e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+2}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+2} + \ldots + \\
e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2} \\
z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1} \\
\ldots \\
z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}.
\end{gathered}$$

□

Combining Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 3.14 and in light of Lemma 4.12 we have the following result.

Theorem 6.6. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ we have*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS1) \leq c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_{k^*}, W(n)) \leq c^\circ_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS1).$$

6.4. E_k, $k \geq 1$.

We have the following.

Lemma 6.7. *The polynomials $SS3 \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$ form a linearly independent set modulo $T_2(E_k)$.*

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i \equiv 0$ be a linear combination modulo $T_2(E_k)$ of elements of $SS3 \cap F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_l, z_1, \ldots, z_m\rangle$. As usual we may suppose each variable of f appearing in each summand with degree at least 1. Let us suppose by contradiction that there exists $M_j \in \{M_1, \ldots, M_n\}$, such that $\lambda_j \neq 0$. Moreover let us assume, without loss of generality, $M_j = LT(f)$. We also denote

$$\alpha_1 = k + 2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f))),$$
$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + 2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f))) - 2n_2,$$
$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)),$$
$$\alpha_4 = n_2 + n_3 + Deg_{z_1}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f)),$$
$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_3 + Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)) + m_3,$$
$$\alpha_6 = \alpha_4 + Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f)) + \ldots + Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f)) - m_2.$$

We have to study three cases.

: Case 1: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) \leq k$;
: Case 2: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) = k+1$ and none of the elements of $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ is bad;
: Case 3: $deg_Z(beg(LT(f))) + deg_Y(\psi(LT(f))) = k+1$ and there exists $u_i \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and u_i is bad.

In all of the three cases we set $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq l$ $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \leq m$.

Let us analyze Case 1. So we consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E_k$$
$$y_1 \mapsto e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1} \mapsto e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{n_1+1} \mapsto e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2} \mapsto e_{n_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))-2n_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))-2n_2}$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+1} \mapsto e_{n_2+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+n_3} \mapsto e_{n_2+n_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1}e_{n_2+n_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}$$

We have $\phi(LT(f)) = \lambda.\lambda_j e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\lambda \in F - \{0\}$. Suppose there exists $M_i < LT(f)$, such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$, then there exists a variable $x \in \{y_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\}$ such that $supp(\phi(x))$ is not contained in the support of any of the summands of $dom(\phi(M_i))$. We obtain $dom(\phi(f)) = \lambda.\lambda_i e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Now we study Case 2. Let us consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E_k$$
$$y_1 \mapsto e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1} \mapsto e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LT(f)))}$$
$$y_{n_1+1} \mapsto e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2} \mapsto e_{n_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LT(f)))-2n_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LT(f)))-2n_2}$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+1} \mapsto e_{n_2+1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+n_3} \mapsto e_{n_2+n_3}$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LT(f))} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))-2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))-m_2+1}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))-m_2-1}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LT(f))+m_3}$$

Notice that $\phi(LT(f)) = \lambda.\lambda_j e_1.\cdots.e_{\alpha_6-1}.e_{k+1}.\cdots.e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\lambda \in F - \{0\}$. Let us suppose that there exists $M_i < LT(F)$ such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$. If for some $x \in X$ we have $Deg_x M_i < Deg_x LT(f)$, then it is easy to see that non of the supports of any summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$ contains $supp(\phi(x))$.

From now on we shall consider the case in which $Deg_x M_i = Deg_x LT(f)$ for every $x \in X$. In this case if $begLT(f) = begu_i$, we have $LT(f) = u_i$. Hence we have only one case to be studied, i.e., $beg(M_i) <_{lex-rig} beg(LT(f))$. Due to the fact that M_i is not bad, there exists a variable $x \in X - pr(z)(LT(F))$ such that $Deg_x(beg(M_i)) < Deg_x(beg(LT(f)))$. Then we have none of the supports of any summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$ contains $supp(\phi(x))$.

In order to complete the proof for Case 2 it is enough to repeat verbatim the proof of Case 1. We shall obtain $dom(\phi(f)) = \phi(LT(f)) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Finally we consider Case 3. Let us denote by $\lambda_k \neq 0$ the coefficient associated to $LBT(f)$. Let us consider the following graded homomorphism:

$$\phi : F\langle y_1, \ldots, y_{n_1+n_2+n_3}, z_1, \ldots, z_{m_1+m_2+m_3}\rangle \to E$$
$$y_1 \mapsto e_{k+1}e_{k+2} + \ldots + e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))-1}e_{k+2Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1} \mapsto e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LBT(f)))+1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1-1}}(LBT(f)))+2} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LBT(f)))-1}e_{k+2(Deg_{y_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1}}(LBT(f)))}$$
$$y_{n_1+1} \mapsto e_1 + e_{\alpha_1+1}e_{\alpha_1+2} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))-3}e_{\alpha_1+2Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))-2}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2} \mapsto e_{n_2} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LBT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LBT(f)))+2(1-n_2)+2} +$$
$$\ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2}}(LBT(f)))-2n_2-1}e_{\alpha_1+2(Deg_{y_{n_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{y_{n_1+n_2-1}}(LBT(f)))-2n_2}$$
$$y_{n_1+n_2+1} \mapsto e_{n_2+1}$$
$$\ldots$$

$$y_{n_1+n_2+n_3} \mapsto e_{n_2+n_3}$$
$$z_2 \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+1}e_{n_2+n_3+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LBT(f))+1}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1-1}}(LBT(f))+1} + \ldots +$$
$$e_{\alpha_2+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LBT(f))}e_{n_2+n_3+Deg_{z_1}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1}}(LBT(f))}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_1 \mapsto e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\alpha_3+1}e_{\alpha_4+1} + \ldots + e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))}e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))-1}$$
$$\ldots$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))} +$$
$$e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))-m_2+2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2-1}}(LBT(f))+1} +$$
$$\ldots + e_{\alpha_4+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))-m_2}e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+1} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))+1}$$
$$z_{m_1+m_2+m_3} \mapsto e_{\alpha_3+Deg_{z_{m_1+1}}(LBT(f))+\ldots+Deg_{z_{m_1+m_2}}(LBT(f))+m_3}$$

Notice that $\phi(LBT(f)) = \lambda.\lambda_k e_1.\ldots.e_{\alpha_6}e_{k+1}\ldots e_{\alpha_5}$ for some $\lambda \in F - \{0\}$. Suppose there exists $M_i \neq LBT(f)$, such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$. Suppose firstly that there exists a variable $x \in X$ such that $Deg_x M_i < Deg_x LBT(f)$, then it is easy to note that none of the supports of any summand of $dom(\phi(M_i))$ contains $supp(\phi(x))$.

From now on we shall assume $Deg_x M_i = Deg_x LBT(f)$ for every $x \in X$. In this case we have $begM_i = begLBT(f)$ does not hold because $M_i \neq LBT(f)$. It turns out it is sufficient to analyze the cases in which $begM_i <_{lex-rig} begLBT(f)$ or $begLBT(f) <_{lex-rig} begM_i$.

: $begM_i <_{lex-rig} begLBT(f)$. In this case there exists a variable $x \in Yyn(LBT(f)) \cup Zyn(LBT(f))$ such that $Deg_x begM_i < Deg_x begLBT(f)$. Then we have $\phi(M_i) = 0$.

: $begLBT(f) <_{lex-rig} begM_i$. In this case we have M_i is not bad. Notice that $Deg_x beg(M_i) = Deg_x beg(LBT(f)) = Deg_x beg(LT(f))$ for every $x \in Z - pr(z)(LT(f))$. If there exists a variable $x \in Y$ such that $Deg_x beg(LBT(f)) > Deg_x beg(M_i)$, we have $\phi(M_i) = 0$. We claim that there always exists $x \in Y$ such that $Deg_x beg(M_i) < Deg_x beg(LBT(f))$. For, let us assume that $M_i \neq LT(f)$ (see Observation 3.7). If $Deg_x beg(LBT(f)) \leq Deg_x beg(M_i)$ for every $x \in Y$, we have $Deg_{pr(z)(LT(f))}beg(M_i) = Deg_{pr(z)(LT(f))}beg(LBT(f)) + 1$, because M_i is not bad. We recall that $begM_i <_{lex-rig} begLT(f)$, then there exists $x_1 \in Y$ such that $Deg_{x_1} beg(M_i) < Deg_{x_1} beg(LT(f))$. On the other hand we have

$$Deg_{x_1} beg(M_i) \geq Deg_{x_1} beg(LBT(f)),\ Deg_{x_1} beg(LBT(f)) \geq Deg_{x_1} beg(LT(f))$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\phi(M_i) = 0$.

In order to complete the proof of Case 3 it is sufficient to follow verbatim the proof of Case 1. We obtain $dom(\phi(f)) = \phi(LBT(f)) = 0$ which is a contradiction and we are done. □

Combining Lemma 4.10 and Proposition 3.18 and in light of Lemma 4.12 we have the following result.

Theorem 6.8. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ we have*

$$c_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS3) \leq c_{(n_1,n_2)}(E_k, W(n)) \leq c^{\circ}_{(n_1,n_2)}(SS3).$$

References

[1] N. Anisimov, *$\mathbb{Z}_p$-codimension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-identities of Grassmann algebra*, Comm. Algebra 29(9), 4211-4230, 2001.

[2] C. Bekh-Ochir, S. A. Rankin, *The identities and the central polynomials of the infinite dimensional unitary Grassmann algebra over a finite field*, Comm. Algebra 39 (3) (2011), 819-829.

[3] L. Centrone, *$\mathbb{Z}_2$-Graded Gelfand-Kirillov of the Grassmann algebra*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., **24** (365) (2014), 365-374.

[4] L. Centrone, *On some recent results about the graded Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of graded PI-algebras*, Serdica Math. J. 38(1-3) (2012), 43-68.

[5] O. M. Di Vincenzo, V. R. T. da Silva, *On $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded polynomial identities of the Grassmann algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. 431(1-2) (2009), 56-72.

[6] V. Drensky, E. Formanek, *Polynomial identitiy ring*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics- CRM Barcelona (2004).

[7] L. F. G. Fonseca, *$\mathbb{Z}_2$-Graded Identities of the Grassmann algebra over a finite field*, arxiv number 1403.0888.

[8] A. Giambruno, M. V. Zaicev, *Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods*, Math. Survey Monographs (122), AMS 2005.

[9] A. Giambruno, P. Koshlukov, *On the identities of the Grassmann algebras in characteristic $p > 0$*, Israel J. Math. 122 (2001), 305-316.
[10] A. Kemer, *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras*, Trans. Math. Monogr., 87, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
[11] D. Krakowski, A. Regev, *The polynomial identities of the Grassmann algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 181 (1973), 429-438.
[12] G. R. Krause, T. H. Lenagan, *Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Revised Edition*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 22. AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.
[13] A. Regev, *Grassmann algebra over finite fields*, Comm. Algebra 19 (6) (1991), 1829-1849.
[14] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume I*, Cambridge Studies Adv. Math. 49, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2012.

IMECC, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651 Cidade Universitária "Zeferino Vaz" Distr. Barão Geraldo Campinas São Paulo Brasil CEP 13083-859
E-mail address: centrone@ime.unicamp.br

Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Rodovia LMG 818, km 06, Florestal, Minas Gerais, Brasil. CEP 35690-000
E-mail address: luisfelipe@ufv.br